HONOURABLE SHRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 12231 OF 2006

Dated 29th June, 2006

Between:
Shaik Ameer S/o Aslam and two others.

…Petitioners

And

The Secretary, A.P. Residential Educational Institutions Society (Regd.), Gagan Vihar, 3rd Floor, M.J.Road, Nampally, Hyderabad and another.

… Respondents.

ORDER:

The petitioners, who are working as Lecturers having been appointed on contract basis in the first respondent-A.P.Residential Educational Institutions Society, filed this writ petition questioning the employment notification dated 4.5.2006 issued by the first respondent-society for making similar such appointments for the year 2006-2007.

It is the case of the petitioners that the first petitioner was appointed as Lecturer in Economics on contract basis on 15.6.2001 and petitioners 2 and 3 are appointed as lecturers in Physics and Chemistry in the second respondent-College on 25.1.2005. It is their case that when they are appointed and working as lecturers on contract basis, there is no valid reason for issuing notification inviting applications again for making appointments on contract basis for the very same subjects.

It is to be seen that the petitioners were appointed for a specified period. In view of the nature of appointment, they do not have any right to continue as such so as to question the notification dated 4.5.2006. Once they serve for the contracted period, they stand on similar footing as that of outsiders who apply pursuant to notification dated 4.5.2006 and compete with others in the selection. In that view of the matter, I do not find any illegality in the impugned notification. However, if the petitioners apply pursuant to notification dated 4.5.2006, their claim shall be considered keeping in mind their past service and record.

Subject to above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

29.6.2006

(R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.)

VR